

## Position Paper Periodontal Maintenance\*

The purpose of this paper is to provide an overview of the role of periodontal maintenance procedures in the treatment of periodontal diseases. Reliance on this position paper in patient management will not guarantee a successful outcome, as periodontal diseases typically involve complex causes and symptoms. Ultimately, decisions regarding the diagnosis, treatment, and management of disease, as well as subsequent preventive therapy, must be made by the treating practitioner based on specific circumstances presented by the patient. *J Periodontol 2003;74:1395-1401.*

**P**eriodontal maintenance (PM) is the preferred term for those procedures formerly referred to as supportive periodontal therapy or periodontal recall, and includes maintenance of dental implants.<sup>1</sup> PM is initiated following periodontal therapy and is performed by a dentist, although components of PM may be performed by a dental hygienist under the supervision of a dentist. Typically, PM includes an update of the medical and dental histories, extraoral and intraoral soft tissue examination, dental examination, periodontal evaluation, implant evaluation, radiographic review, removal of bacterial plaque and calculus from supragingival and subgingival regions, selective root planing or implant debridement if indicated, polishing of teeth, and a review of the patient's plaque removal efficacy.<sup>2</sup> These procedures are performed at selected intervals to assist the patient in maintaining oral health. Although PM usually is instituted following periodontal and implant therapy and continues at varying intervals for the life of the dentition or its implant replacements, PM may temporarily be discontinued and surgical or non-surgical therapy reinstated if recurrent disease or pathosis is detected.

### BIOLOGICAL BASIS FOR PERIODONTAL MAINTENANCE

Tooth loss in some periodontal patients has been shown to be inversely proportional to the frequency of PM.<sup>3</sup> Ten years following completion of periodontal therapy, patients who had received at least periodic PM had significantly decreased probing depths and reduced tooth loss, compared to patients who had not received PM.<sup>4</sup> Indeed, numerous studies have demonstrated the efficacy of PM, and have shown

that recurrent periodontitis can be prevented or limited by optimal personal oral hygiene<sup>5-7</sup> or through periodic PM.<sup>8</sup> Other studies have shown that patients who maintain regular PM intervals<sup>9-25</sup> experience less attachment loss and lose fewer teeth than patients who receive less PM<sup>1,3,26</sup> or none at all.<sup>27-30</sup> Since patients rarely are completely effective in removing plaque,<sup>31,32</sup> adherence to a PM program reduces the risk of future attachment loss. Similarly, PM allows for monitoring of dental implants, as well as evaluation of mechanical and biological aspects of implant support and restoration. Since it is not possible to predict when or if untreated gingivitis will progress to periodontitis, PM provides for periodic monitoring as well as professional plaque removal in patients who have been treated for periodontal disease.<sup>3,9,33</sup> Patients with a history of periodontitis require periodic PM, as personal supragingival oral hygiene alone has not been shown to control attachment loss.<sup>34-36</sup> Occasionally, despite the best efforts of clinicians and patients, some individuals may suffer progressive attachment or implant loss despite maintaining a regular PM schedule.<sup>37-39</sup> Additional diagnostic information such as microbial analysis, as well as therapy that includes local or systemic antimicrobial agents, may be required for those patients.<sup>40-42</sup>

### THERAPEUTIC GOALS OF PERIODONTAL MAINTENANCE

1. To prevent or minimize recurrence of disease progression in patients who were previously treated for periodontitis, peri-implantitis, or some types of gingivitis (drug influenced, gingival diseases modified by systemic factors, hereditary gingival fibromatosis, etc.).
2. To prevent or reduce the incidence of tooth or implant loss by monitoring the dentition and prosthetic replacements of the natural teeth.

\* This paper was developed under the direction of the Research, Science and Therapy Committee and approved by the Board of Trustees of the American Academy of Periodontology in May 2003.

3. To increase the probability of locating and treating other conditions or diseases found within the oral cavity in a timely manner.

## TREATMENT CONSIDERATIONS

The following items may be included in a PM visit, subject to previous examination, history, and the judgment of the clinician:

- A. Review and update of medical and dental history
- B. Clinical examination (to be compared with previous baseline measurements)
  1. Extraoral examination and recording of results
  2. Intraoral examination and recording of results:
    - a. Oral soft tissue evaluation
    - b. Oral cancer evaluation
  3. Dental examination and recording of results:
    - a. Tooth mobility, fremitus, and occlusal factors
    - b. Coronal and root caries assessment
    - c. Restorative and prosthetic factors, such as defective restorations
    - d. Other tooth-related problems, such as open contacts or malpositioned teeth
  4. Periodontal examination and recording of results:
    - a. Probing depths
    - b. Bleeding on probing
    - c. General levels of plaque and calculus
    - d. Evaluation of furcations
    - e. Exudate
    - f. Other signs of disease progression
    - g. Microbial testing if indicated
    - h. Gingival recession
    - i. Attachment levels if indicated
  5. Examination of dental implants and peri-implant tissues and recording of results:
    - a. Probing depths
    - b. Bleeding on probing
    - c. Examination of prosthesis/abutment components
    - d. Evaluation of implant stability
    - e. Occlusal examination
    - f. Other signs and symptoms of disease activity (e.g., pain, suppuration)
- C. Radiographic examination
  1. Radiographs should be current, based on the diagnostic needs of the patient, and should permit appropriate evaluation and interpretation of the status of the oral structures, including teeth, periodontium, and dental implants. Radiographs of diagnostic quality are necessary for these purposes.
  2. The judgment of the clinician, as well as the

prevalence or degree of disease progression, may help determine the need, frequency, and number of radiographs.

3. Radiographic abnormalities should be noted.
- D. Assessment of disease status or changes by reviewing the clinical and radiographic examination findings, compared to baseline
  - E. Assessment of personal oral hygiene
  - F. Treatment
    1. Removal of subgingival and supragingival plaque and calculus
    2. Behavioral modification:
      - a. Oral hygiene instruction
      - b. Adherence to suggested PM intervals
      - c. Counseling on control of risk factors (e.g., smoking, nutrition, stress)
    3. Selective scaling or root planing, if indicated
    4. Occlusal adjustment, if indicated
    5. Use of systemic antibiotics, local antimicrobial agents, or irrigation procedures, as necessary
    6. Root desensitization, if indicated
    7. Surgical therapy (or discontinuation of periodontal maintenance and treatment of recurrent disease), if indicated
  - G. Communication
    1. Informing the patient of current status and need for additional treatment if indicated
    2. Consultation with other health care practitioners who may be providing additional therapy or participating in the PM program, or whose services may be indicated
  - H. Planning
    1. For most patients with a history of periodontitis, visits at 3-month intervals may be required initially.
    2. Based on evaluation of clinical findings and assessment of disease status, PM frequency may remain the same, be modified, or the patient may return to mechanical, chemical, surgical, and/or non-surgical treatment.

## FREQUENCY AND TIME ALLOTTED FOR PERIODONTAL MAINTENANCE

Many patients presenting with recurrent gingivitis without additional attachment loss after definitive periodontal therapy may be adequately maintained with PM performed semiannually.<sup>43</sup> However, for most patients with a history of periodontitis, numerous clinical studies suggest that PM should be performed at intervals of less than 6 months. Intervals of 2 weeks,<sup>16,44</sup> 2 to 3 months,<sup>9</sup> 3 months,<sup>3,4,10,45-50</sup> 3 to 4 months,<sup>7,14</sup> 3 to 6 months,<sup>11,51,52</sup> 4 to

6 months,<sup>37</sup> and up to 18 months<sup>53</sup> have been evaluated. In general, data suggest that most patients with a previous history of periodontitis should obtain PM at least four times per year, since that interval will result in a decreased likelihood of progressive disease, compared to patients receiving PM on a less frequent basis.<sup>9,12,18,19,51</sup> Nevertheless, the PM schedule should be individualized, with the PM interval tailored to the needs of each patient.

Although pocket debridement suppresses components of the subgingival microflora associated with periodontitis,<sup>54,55</sup> periodontal pathogens may return to baseline levels within days or months.<sup>56-68</sup> The return of pathogens to pretreatment levels generally occurs in approximately 9 to 11 weeks, but can vary dramatically among patients.<sup>69</sup>

The time required for PM appointments should be dictated by such factors as the number of teeth or implants, patient cooperation, oral hygiene efficacy and compliance, systemic health, previous frequency of PM, instrumentation access, history of disease or complications, and the distribution and depth of the sulci.<sup>9</sup> Although PM traditionally has been delivered over a 45- to 60-minute period, the time required for effective PM should be individualized.

Adherence to suggested PM intervals can affect the success of treatment. However, studies have suggested that the degree of compliance with PM has been poor. One report indicated that 28% of patients did not comply with their first PM visit.<sup>70</sup> Another showed that, over a 10-year period, compliance was 45.8%, and that 59% of patients 30 years of age or younger were non-compliant.<sup>71</sup> Consequently, periodic reinforcement of the benefits of PM appears to be indicated, since it has been shown that patients treated for periodontitis who comply with suggested PM intervals will experience less attachment and tooth loss than patients who do not demonstrate compliance.<sup>3,17,30,69-74</sup> Moreover, mechanical, chemical, surgical, and/or non-surgical therapy may need to be reinitiated in some PM patients at specific sites that manifest disease progression. This may be indicated particularly at sites associated with previous attachment loss.<sup>75-77</sup>

### IMPLANT MAINTENANCE

Patients with implants should be evaluated at regular intervals to monitor their peri-implant status, the condition of implant-supported prostheses, and plaque control. It has been hypothesized that routine instrumentation of dental implants may scratch and pit the exposed implant surfaces, resulting in alter-

ations that may enhance both plaque accumulation and recolonization with pathogenic bacteria.<sup>78-81</sup> Although a number of investigators have examined the effects of various scalers, curets, and abrasives on implant surfaces, there are no clinical data to support the hypothesized relationship between implant maintenance technique and implant failure.

Studies evaluating the effects of different maintenance procedures on implant surfaces have revealed that titanium and hydroxyapatite-coated surfaces are frequently scarred and pitted when metal curets or ultrasonic instruments are used.<sup>81</sup> However, topical antimicrobials, manual or motorized toothbrushes, or rubber cup polishing with a fine abrasive paste all produce minimal surface alterations.<sup>79</sup> Other reports have suggested that the use of interdental brushes and plastic scalers maintain the integrity of titanium implant surfaces, whereas ultrasonic scalers may lead to severe roughness.<sup>80</sup> In a comparative study of titanium implants instrumented with titanium, stainless-steel, or plastic curets, the plastic instruments produced no significant changes in titanium implant surfaces following scaling, while metal instruments caused significant surface alterations.<sup>81</sup> Furthermore, titanium-tipped curets produced rougher surfaces than those treated with stainless-steel instruments.<sup>81</sup> Another study compared surfaces of titanium implants subjected to standardized scaling forces using gold-tipped scalers, a resin scaler, a graphite-reinforced scaler, an air-powder abrasive system, and a rubber cup with tin oxide slurry. No significant surface alterations were produced by the air abrasive system, but all other hygiene methods either created significant surface alterations, left residual particles on the abutment surfaces, or both.<sup>82</sup>

Plastic scaling instruments are commercially available. Their relatively large size can limit access to defects around implants. Therefore, other plastic instruments incorporating harder plastic have been designed specifically to provide better subgingival access and sufficient strength to remove subgingival calculus. However, no studies have reported the efficacy of these instruments. Gold-tipped and graphite-reinforced instruments are also available. Since gold is softer than titanium, these instruments may be less likely to scratch the implant surface.

The effect of altered implant surfaces on cellular growth and tissue attachment remains unclear. Evidence suggests that air-powder abrasives do not alter titanium surfaces, but that they do effectively remove microorganisms and allow normal fibroblast growth *in vitro*.<sup>83</sup> Implant surfaces instrumented with stain-

less-steel and titanium-alloy curets have been associated with significantly fewer attached fibroblasts than untreated control surfaces, with the greatest reduction in cell attachment associated with surfaces treated with stainless-steel instruments.<sup>84,85</sup>

The evidence suggests that plaque control is as critically important for the maintenance of dental implants as for natural teeth.<sup>86,87</sup> Consequently, it appears that effective implant oral hygiene techniques may include interdental brushes, dental floss, dental tape, and floss ribbons, as well as topical chemotherapeutic agents. Professional maintenance using plastic instruments or judicious use of metal instruments, air-powder abrasives, as well as polishing with a rubber cup and fine abrasive, also may be indicated.<sup>79,82,88</sup> A more comprehensive review of treatment considerations is found in the American Academy of Periodontology position paper, *Dental Implants in Periodontal Therapy*.<sup>89</sup>

## TREATMENT SITES FOR PERIODONTAL MAINTENANCE

Effective PM involves cooperation and understanding among all involved participants: the patient, the referring (or restorative) dentist, and periodontist. Patients with recurrent gingivitis or slight chronic periodontitis traditionally have been maintained by their general dentist. Patients with a history of chronic periodontitis with moderate attachment loss may receive PM on an alternating basis with the general dentist and the periodontist. Patients with a history of severe periodontal attachment loss, or aggressive forms of periodontitis, often obtain PM at the periodontist's office, with the general dentist maintaining the non-periodontal aspects of the dentition. This approach has been validated by post-treatment patient surveys.<sup>90</sup> In addition, patients with dental implants, extensive periodontal prostheses, and those who are concurrently undergoing active orthodontic therapy often require PM to maintain periodontal and peri-implant health. With interdisciplinary therapy, cooperation and coordination among the treating practitioners are important as well.

## FUTURE DIRECTIONS

As the results of further research become available for predicting disease activity, PM schedules may be better adapted to the needs of each patient. Specific areas of advancement may include more accurate and less expensive methods for disease diagnosis, including documentation of clinical attachment levels, improved imaging technology and microbiolog-

ical assessment, and evaluation of host factors including gingival crevicular fluid components.<sup>91,92</sup>

## SUMMARY

Successful periodontal and implant therapy with regular PM can promote periodontal and peri-implant health. Following surgical or non-surgical periodontal therapy, an interval is established for periodic ongoing care. PM is not synonymous with a prophylaxis. Maintenance procedures are under the supervision of the dentist and include an update of the medical and dental histories, extraoral and intraoral soft tissue examination, dental examination, periodontal and implant evaluation, radiographic review, removal of bacterial plaque from supragingival and subgingival regions, scaling and root planing where indicated, polishing of the teeth, and review of the patient's ability to perform plaque control.<sup>2</sup> An interval of 3 months between appointments for patients with a history of periodontitis appears to be effective, but this can vary depending upon patient compliance, as well as the clinical judgment of the dentist. When new or recurring periodontal disease appears, additional diagnostic and treatment procedures must be considered. The successful long-term control of periodontal disease and implant complications depends upon active periodontal maintenance care and appropriate additional therapy, if indicated.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The primary author for this paper is Dr. Robert E. Cohen. Members of the Research, Science and Therapy Committee include: Drs. Terry D. Rees, Chair; Christopher Cutler; Petros Damoulis; Joseph P. Fiorellini; William V. Giannobile; Henry Greenwell; Angelo Mariotti; Steven Offenbacher; Leslie M. Salkin; Brian K. Nicoll, Board Liaison; Robert J. Genco, Consultant.

## REFERENCES

1. The American Academy of Periodontology. Supportive treatment. In: *Proceedings of the World Workshop in Clinical Periodontics*. Chicago: American Academy of Periodontology; 1989:IX-24.
2. American Academy of Periodontology. *Glossary of Periodontal Terms*, 4th ed. Chicago: American Academy of Periodontology; 2001:39.
3. Wilson TG, Glover ME, Malik AK, Schoen JA, Dorsett D. Tooth loss in maintenance patients in a private periodontal practice. *J Periodontol* 1987;58:231-235.
4. Bostanci HS, Arpak MN. Long-term evaluation of surgical periodontal treatment with and without maintenance care. *J Nihon Univ Sch Dent* 1991;33:152-159.
5. Loe H, Anerud A, Boysen H, Morrison E. Natural history of periodontal disease in man. Rapid, moderate,

- and no loss of attachment in Sri Lankan laborers 14 to 46 years of age. *J Clin Periodontol* 1986;13:431-445.
6. Løe H, Theilade E, Jensen SB. Experimental gingivitis in man. *J Periodontol* 1965;36:177-187.
  7. Suomi JD, Greene JC, Vermillion JR, Doyle J, Chang J, Leatherwood EC. The effect of controlled oral hygiene procedures on the progression of periodontal disease in adults: Results after third and final year. *J Periodontol* 1971;42:152-160.
  8. Ramfjord SP, Morrison EC, Burgett FG, et al. Oral hygiene and maintenance of periodontal support. *J Periodontol* 1982;53:26-30.
  9. Axelsson P, Lindhe J. The significance of maintenance care in the treatment of periodontal disease. *J Clin Periodontol* 1981;8:281-294.
  10. Knowles JW, Burgett FG, Nissle RR, et al. Results of periodontal treatment related to pocket depth and attachment level. Eight years. *J Periodontol* 1979;50:225-233.
  11. Lindhe J, Nyman S. Long-term maintenance of patients treated for advanced periodontal disease. *J Clin Periodontol* 1984;11:504-514.
  12. Ramfjord SP, Caffesse RG, Morrison EC, et al. Four modalities of periodontal treatment compared over five years. *J Periodont Res* 1987;22:222-223.
  13. Westfelt E, Nyman S, Socransky S, Lindhe J. Significance of frequency of professional tooth cleaning for healing following periodontal surgery. *J Clin Periodontol* 1983;10:148-156.
  14. Pihlstrom BL, McHugh RB, Oliphant TH, Ortiz-Campos C. Comparison of surgical and nonsurgical treatment of periodontal disease. A review of current studies and additional results after 6-1/2 years. *J Clin Periodontol* 1983;10:524-541.
  15. Badersten A, Nilveus R, Egelberg J. Effects of non-surgical periodontal therapy. II. Severely advanced periodontitis. *J Clin Periodontol* 1984;11:63-76.
  16. Nyman S, Rosling B, Lindhe J. Effect of professional tooth cleaning on healing after periodontal surgery. *J Clin Periodontol* 1975;2:80-86.
  17. Becker W, Berg L, Becker BE. The long term evaluation of periodontal treatment and maintenance in 95 patients. *Int J Periodontics Restorative Dent* 1984;4(2):54-71.
  18. Axelsson P, Lindhe J. Effect of controlled oral hygiene procedures on caries and periodontal disease in adults. *J Clin Periodontol* 1978;5:133-151.
  19. Axelsson P, Lindhe J. Effect of controlled oral hygiene procedures on caries and periodontal disease in adults. *J Clin Periodontol* 1981;8:239-248.
  20. Brandtzaeg P, Jamison HC. The effect of controlled cleansing of teeth on periodontal health and oral hygiene in Norwegian Army recruits. *J Periodontol* 1964;35:302-307.
  21. Chawla TN, Nanda RS, Kapoor KK. Dental prophylaxis procedures in control of periodontal disease in Lucknow (rural) India. *J Periodontol* 1975;46:498-503.
  22. Lovdal A, Arno A, Schei O, Waerhaug J. Combined effect of subgingival scaling and controlled oral hygiene on the incidence of gingivitis. *Acta Odontol Scand* 1961;19:537-555.
  23. Schallhorn RG, Snider LE. Periodontal maintenance therapy. *J Am Dent Assoc* 1981;103:227-231.
  24. Jendresen MD, Hamilton MA, McLean JW, Phillips RW, Ramfjord SP. Report of the committee on scientific investigation of the American Academy of Restorative Dentistry. *J Prosthet Dent* 1984;51:823-846.
  25. Kaldahl WB, Kalkwarf KL, Patil KD, Dyer JK, Bates RE. Evaluation of four modalities of periodontal therapy. Mean probing depth, probing attachment level and recession changes. *J Periodontol* 1988;59:783-793.
  26. DeVors CH, Duckworth DM, Beck FM, et al. Bone loss following periodontal therapy in subjects without frequent periodontal maintenance. *J Periodontol* 1986;57:354-359.
  27. Nyman S, Lindhe J, Rosling B. Periodontal surgery in plaque-infected dentitions. *J Clin Periodontol* 1977;4:240-249.
  28. Becker W, Berg L, Becker BE. Untreated periodontal disease: A longitudinal study. *J Periodontol* 1979;50:234-244.
  29. Lindhe J, Haffajee AD, Socransky SS. Progression of periodontal disease in adult subjects in the absence of periodontal therapy. *J Clin Periodontol* 1983;10:433-442.
  30. Becker W, Becker BE, Berg LE. Periodontal treatment without maintenance. A retrospective study in 44 patients. *J Periodontol* 1984;55:505-509.
  31. Johansson LA, Oster B, Hamp SE. Evaluation of cause-related periodontal therapy and compliance with maintenance care recommendations. *J Clin Periodontol* 1984;11:689-699.
  32. Wilson T. Compliance. A review of the literature with possible applications to periodontics. *J Periodontol* 1987;58:706-714.
  33. Listgarten MA, Slots J, Nowotny HH, et al. Incidence of periodontitis recurrence in treated patients with and without cultivable *Actinobacillus actinomycetemcomitans*, *Prevotella intermedia* and *Porphyromonas gingivalis*. A prospective study. *J Periodontol* 1991;62:377-386.
  34. Cerek JF, Kiger RD, Garrett S, Egelberg J. Relative effects of plaque control and instrumentation on the clinical parameters of human periodontal disease. *J Clin Periodontol* 1983;10:46-56.
  35. Smulow J, Turesky S, Hill R. The effect of supragingival plaque removal on anaerobic bacteria in deep periodontal pockets. *J Am Dent Assoc* 1983;107:737-742.
  36. Loos B, Claffey N, Crigger M. Clinical and microbiological effects of root debridement in periodontal furcation pockets. *J Clin Periodontol* 1988;15:453-463.
  37. Hirschfeld L, Wasserman B. A long-term survey of tooth loss in 600 treated periodontal patients. *J Periodontol* 1978;49:225-237.
  38. McFall WT Jr. Tooth loss in 100 treated patients with periodontal disease. A long-term study. *J Periodontol* 1982;53:539-549.
  39. Meador H, Love J, Suddick P. The long-term effectiveness of periodontal therapy in a clinical practice. *J Periodontol* 1985;56:253-258.
  40. Douglass CW, Fox CH. Determining the value of a periodontal diagnostic test. *J Periodontol* 1991;62:721-730.
  41. Lang NP, Mombelli A, Tonetti MS, Bragger U, Hammerle CH. Clinical trials on therapies for peri-implant infections. *Ann Periodontol* 1997;2:343-356.

42. van Winkelhoff A, Tjihof C, de Graaff J. Microbiological and clinical results of metronidazole plus amoxicillin therapy in *Actinobacillus actinomycetemcomitans*-associated periodontitis. *J Periodontol* 1992;63:52-57.
43. Ramfjord SP. Maintenance care and supportive periodontal therapy. *Quintessence Int* 1993;24:465-471.
44. Rosling B, Nyman S, Lindhe J, Jern B. The healing potential of the periodontal tissues following different techniques of periodontal surgery in plaque-free dentitions. A 2-year clinical study. *J Clin Periodontol* 1976;3:233-250.
45. Ramfjord SP, Knowles JW, Nissle RR, Burgett FG, Shick RA. Results following three modalities of periodontal therapy. *J Periodontol* 1975;46:522-526.
46. Fleszar TJ, Knowles JW, Morrison EC, Burgett FG, Nissle RFR, Ramfjord SP. Tooth mobility and periodontal therapy. *J Clin Periodontol* 1980;7:495-505.
47. Hill RW, Ramfjord SP, Morrison EC, et al. Four types of periodontal treatment compared over two years. *J Periodontol* 1981;52:655-662.
48. Becker W, Becker BE, Ochsenein C, et al. A longitudinal study comparing scaling, osseous surgery and modified Widman procedure. Results after one year. *J Periodontol* 1988;59:351-365.
49. Ramfjord SP, Knowles JW, Nissle RR, Shick RA, Burgett FG. Longitudinal study of periodontal therapy. *J Periodontol* 1973;44:66-77.
50. Oliver RC. Tooth loss with and without periodontal therapy. *Periodont Abstr* 1969;17:8-9.
51. Haffajee AD, Socransky SS, Smith C, Dibart S. Relation of baseline microbial parameters to future periodontal attachment loss. *J Clin Periodontol* 1991;18:744-750.
52. Lindhe J, Nyman S. The effect of plaque control and surgical pocket elimination on the establishment and maintenance of periodontal health. A longitudinal study of periodontal therapy in cases of advanced disease. *J Clin Periodontol* 1975;2:67-79.
53. Rosen B, Olavi G, Badersten A, Ronstrom A, Soderholm G, Egelberg J. Effect of different frequencies of preventive maintenance treatment on periodontal conditions. Five-year observations in general dentistry patients. *J Clin Periodontol* 1999;26:225-233.
54. Slots J. The predominant cultivable microflora of advanced periodontitis. *Scand J Dent Res* 1977;85:114-121.
55. Slots J. Subgingival microflora in periodontal disease. *J Clin Periodontol* 1979;6:351-382.
56. Mousques T, Listgarten MA, Phillips RW. Effect of scaling and root planing on the composition of human subgingival microbial flora. *J Periodont Res* 1980;15:144-151.
57. Listgarten MA, Lindhe J, Hellden L. Effect of tetracycline and/or scaling on human periodontal disease. Clinical, microbiological, and histological observations. *J Clin Periodontol* 1978;5:246-271.
58. Slots J, Mashimo P, Levine MJ, Genco RJ. Periodontal therapy in humans. I. Microbiological and clinical effects of a single course of periodontal scaling and root planing, and of adjunctive tetracycline therapy. *J Periodontol* 1979;50:495-509.
59. Magnusson I, Lindhe J, Yoneyama T, Liljenberg B. Recolonization of a subgingival microbiota following scaling in deep pockets. *J Clin Periodontol* 1984;11:193-207.
60. Lavanchy D, Bickel M, Bachni P. The effect of plaque control after scaling and root planing on the subgingival microflora in human periodontitis. *J Clin Periodontol* 1987;14:295-299.
61. Greenwell H, Bissada NF. Variations in subgingival microflora from healthy and intervention sites using probing depth and bacteriologic identification criteria. *J Periodontol* 1984;56:391-397.
62. van Winkelhoff AJ, van der Velden U, de Graaff J. Microbial succession in recolonizing deep periodontal pockets after a single course of supra- and subgingival debridement. *J Clin Periodontol* 1988;15:116-122.
63. Southard SR, Drisko CL, Killoy WJ, Cobb CM, Tira DE. The effect of 2% chlorhexidine digluconate irrigation on clinical parameters and the level of *Bacteroides gingivalis* in periodontal pockets. *J Periodontol* 1989;60:302-309.
64. Sbordone L, Ramaglia L, Gulletta E, Iacono V. Recolonization of the subgingival microflora after scaling and root planing in human periodontitis. *J Periodontol* 1990;61:579-584.
65. Braatz L, Garrett JS, Claffey N, Egelberg JL. Antimicrobial irrigation of deep pockets to supplement non-surgical periodontal therapy. II. Daily irrigation. *J Clin Periodontol* 1985;12:630-638.
66. MacAlpine R, Magnusson I, Kiger R, et al. Antimicrobial irrigation of deep pockets to supplement non surgical periodontal therapy. I. Biweekly irrigation. *J Clin Periodontol* 1985;12:568-577.
67. Forgas L, Gound S. The effects of antiformin-citric acid chemical curettage on the microbial flora of the periodontal pocket. *J Periodontol* 1987;58:153-158.
68. Oosteneaal P, Matee M, Mikx F, et al. The effect of subgingival debridement with hand and ultrasonic instruments on the subgingival microflora. *J Clin Periodontol* 1987;14:528-533.
69. Greenstein G. Periodontal response to mechanical non-surgical therapy: A review. *J Periodontol* 1992;63:118-130.
70. Ojima M, Hanioka T, Shizukuishi S. Survival analysis for degree of compliance with supportive periodontal therapy. *J Clin Periodontol* 2001;28:1091-1095.
71. Novaes AB Jr., Novaes AB. Compliance with supportive periodontal therapy. Part II: Risk of non-compliance in a 10-year period. *Braz Dent J* 2001;12:47-50.
72. Kerr NW. Treatment of chronic periodontitis: 46% failure rate after 5 years. *Br Dent J* 1981;150:222-224.
73. Duckworth J, Brose M, Avers R, French C, Savitt E. Therapeutic implications of the bacterial pathogens associated around dental implants. *J Dent Res* 1987;66 (Spec. Issue):114(Abstr. 57).
74. Mendosa AR, Newcomb GM, Nixon KC. Compliance with supportive periodontal therapy. *J Periodontol* 1991;62:731-736.
75. Haffajee AD, Socransky SS, Lindhe J, Kent RL, Okamoto H, Yoneyama T. Clinical risk indicators for periodontal attachment loss. *J Clin Periodontol* 2001;18:117-125.

76. Grbic JT, Lamster IB, Celenti RS, Fine JB. Risk indicators for future clinical attachment loss in adult periodontitis. Patient variables. *J Periodontol* 2001;62:322-329.
77. Beck JD, Koch GG, Rozier RG, Tudor GE. Prevalence and risk indicators for periodontal attachment loss in a population of older community-dwelling blacks and whites. *J Periodontol* 1990;61:521-528.
78. Kwan JY, Zablotsky MH, Meffert RM. Implant maintenance using a modified ultrasonic instrument. *J Dent Hyg* 1990;64:422-430.
79. Thomas-Neal D, Evans GH, Meffert RM. Effects of various prophylactic treatments on titanium, sapphire, and hydroxylapatite-coated implants: An SEM study. *Int J Periodontics Restorative Dent* 1989;9:301-311.
80. Rapley JW, Swan RH, Hallmon WW, Mills MP. The surface characteristics produced by various oral hygiene instruments and materials on titanium implant abutments. *Int J Oral Maxillofac Implants* 1990;5:47-52.
81. Fox SC, Moriarty JD, Kusy RP. The effects of scaling a titanium implant surface with metal and plastic instruments: An in vitro study. *J Periodontol* 1990;61:485-490.
82. Brookshire FV, Nagy WW, Dhuru VB, Ziebert GJ, Chada S. The qualitative effect of various types of hygiene instrumentation on commercially pure titanium alloy implant abutments: An in vitro and scanning electron microscope study. *J Prosthet Dent* 1977;78:286-294.
83. Parham PL, Cobb CM, French AA, Love SW, Drisko CL, Killoy WJ. Effects of an airpowder abrasive system on plasma-sprayed titanium implant surfaces: An in vitro evaluation. *J Oral Implantol* 1989;15:78-86.
84. Dmytryk JJ, Fox SC, Moriarty JD. The effects of scaling titanium implant surfaces with metal and plastic instruments on cell attachment. *J Periodontol* 1990;61:491-496.
85. Dennison DK, Juerzeler MB, Quinones C, Caffesse RG. Contaminated implant surfaces: An in vitro comparison of implant surface coating and treatment modalities for decontamination. *J Periodontol* 1994;65:942-948.
86. Meffert RM, Langer B, Fritz ME. Dental implants: A review. *J Periodontol* 1992;63:859-870.
87. Abrahamsson I, Berglundh T, Lindhe J. Soft tissue response to plaque formation at different implant systems. A comparative study in the dog. *Clin Oral Implants Res* 1998;9:73-79.
88. McCollum J, O'Neal RB, Brennan WA, Van Dyke TE, Horner JA. The effect of titanium implant abutment surface irregularities on plaque accumulation in vivo. *J Periodontol* 1992;63:802-805.
89. American Academy of Periodontology. Dental implants in periodontal therapy (position paper). *J Periodontol* 2000;71:1934-1942.
90. Sandrew SH. Summary of patient interpretation after experiencing periodontal therapy. *J Periodontol* 1972;43:237-239.
91. Armitage GC. Periodontal diseases: Diagnosis. *Ann Periodontol* 1996;1:37-215.
92. Kaufman E, Lamster IB. analysis of saliva for periodontal diagnosis – A review. *J Clin Periodontol* 2000;27:453-465.

Individual copies of this paper may be obtained on the Academy's Web site at <http://www.perio.org>. Members of the American Academy of Periodontology have permission of the Academy, as copyright holder, to reproduce up to 150 copies of this document for not-for-profit, educational purposes only. For information on reproduction of the document for any other use or distribution, please contact Rita Shafer at the Academy Central Office; voice: 312/573-3221; fax: 312/573-3225; or e-mail: [rita@perio.org](mailto:rita@perio.org).